

How to speed up clinical trials and what can patients do?

Hanneke van der Lee

Outline – 10 minutes

- General overview of the products from the 3 FP7 consortia
 - Asterix
 - Ideal
 - Inspire
- The patient perspective
 - Registries
 - The POWER-tool
 - Goal Attainment Scaling

The 3 FP7 consortia

FP7 Call – HEALTH.2013.4.2-3

New methodologies for clinical trials for small population groups

Three projects were funded:

- **ASTERIX** (PI Kit Roes)
Advances in Small Trials dEsign for Regulatory Innovation and eXcellence
- **IDeAI** (PI Ralf-Dieter Hilgers)
Integrated Design and AnaLysis of small population group trials
- **InSPiRe** (PI Nigel Stallard)
Innovative methodology for small population research



Integrated DEsign and AnaLysis
of small population group trials





- statistical design innovations in **individual and series of trials**
- **framework for rare diseases** wrt rational trial design choices
- include **patient level info & perspectives** in design and decision making throughout the clinical trial process
- re-consider the scientific basis for **levels of evidence** to support decision making at the regulatory level
- **validation of new methods** against real life data and regulatory decisions





Integrated DEsign and AnaLysis
of small population group trials

- assessment of **randomization**
- extrapolation of **dose-response** information
- **adaptive** trial designs
- optimal experimental designs in **mixed models**
- **pharmacokinetic** and **individualized** designs
- **simulation** of clinical studies
- involvement and identification of **genetic factors**
- **decision-theoretic** considerations
- evaluation of **biomarkers** and **surrogate endpoints**

UNIKLINIK
RWTHAACHEN



Wrocław
University
of Technology



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET



CHALMERS
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

- early **dose-finding** trials
- **decision-theoretic** designs
- confirmatory trials in small trials and **personalized medicines**
- **evidence synthesis** in planning and interpretation of clinical trials in small populations

The POWER-tool

Patient participation in **O**utcome **M**easure **W**Eighing for **R**are diseases

A tool for investigators to involve patients in determination of outcome measures and choice of measurement instruments

3 steps approach

Developed together with Asterix **Patient Think Tank** and end users

Road-tested in ongoing SMA trial

Goal Attainment Scaling

1. What are your goals?
2. Definition of 5 levels of attainment per goal
3. Which goals are most important to you (weights)?
4. *Intervention*
5. Independent assessment:
At what level is each goal attained?



When is GAS useful?

Useful:

- Chronic disease
- Effect of intervention expected on behavioral ability, that can be assessed independently
- Concurrent blinded controls

Not useful:

- Acute, episodic or unpredictable diseases

Gaasterland et al. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2016;16:99.
Urach et al. Stat Methods Med Res. 2018:962280218777896.

Goal Attainment

Patient Involvement in Rare Disease Clinical Research



ES (RDRs)



● What is the issue

Imagine three boys with a rare disease who are in different stages of the disease. The three boys have different treatment needs. Regular measurement instruments are not specific enough to capture the variability. How can we measure what is successful?

● Why should patients be involved?

Patients and parents of a child with a rare or ultra-rare disease can make an important contribution to becoming a success. As patients manage their disease on a day to day basis, they have more knowledge about aspects of their disease should have priority for further investigation by researchers. Patients have a unique perspective based on this experiential knowledge. Patients should be involved in the design of measures in a trial and the composition of patient information. Patient participation for them and their families, and can help researchers to design better clinical trials. In this leaflet, we will show how patients can be involved in clinical research.

Patients should be involved in a standardized way in the design of measures, diagnosis, or type of treatment. Patients should be able to provide information about the variability and the design of measures when treatments are developed.

<http://www.asterix-fp7.eu>

● What is the process

- 1 First, a doctor or therapist decide what the goals of the trial they can be defined in five steps. The goals can be ordered in terms of importance.
- 2 The patient receives the intervention. It may be a new drug or some other treatment or a placebo. Preferably with patients and doctors do not know if it is the 'true' intervention and what is called blinding.
- 3 The patient and doctor assess if the goals have been attained. We expect the patient received the 'true' intervention and have a higher score than the control group.

European
collaborations on
patient involvement

● Roles of Patients in clinical research

This diagram represents the different roles that patient representatives play in the clinical trial process: a research subject, an information provider, an advisor, a reviewer, a co-researcher and a driving force. This diagram was developed in the EU project patient partner, based on the Participation ladder of Arnstein, a vertical ladder. **All roles are necessary and important and there is no hierarchy of one above the other**, thus the ladder was turned. Patients can be involved in clinical trials in various ways: setting the research agenda, design of clinical trials, recruitment and dissemination of the results. The Asterix project studies the design of better clinical trials.

Co-researcher

Driving force

Example Cystic Fibrosis registry

Disease progressive, genetic disease affecting the lungs and intestines. Buildup of mucus in the lungs limits breathing and causes lung infections. Life expectancy is between 42 and 50 years.

Goal of registry to measure aspects of CF and its treatment, to provide data for epidemiological research and drug development, and identification of specific groups for clinical trials (feasibility).

Examples of variables included gender, age (demographic), first/second mutation (diagnosis), antibiotics, pancreatic enzymes (treatment), 1 minute forced expiratory volume (FEV1), survival (outcomes)

● What to do we need to take

Let's work together!

j.h.vanderlee@amc.uva.nl

Decision makers need evidence

The European legislation on orphan medicinal products [Regulation (EC) No 141/2000] emphasises that patients suffering from rare conditions should be

- “... entitled to the same quality of treatment as other patients.”
- Current rationale is to present evidence at the same confidence levels
- Small populations guidance does stimulate alternatives for design and analyses
- Careful case-by-case decisions are made, that essentially may “relax” level of evidence