



EUROPLAN PROJECT NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON RARE DISEASES

WORKSHOP ADEQUATE DEFINITION, CODIFICATION AND INVENTORYING OF RARE DISEASES (with additional questions on information and training)





RELEVANT EXTRACT FROM THE EUROPEAN COUNCIL RECOMMENDATIONS ON ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF RARE DISEASES

LUXEMBOURG, 9 June 2009

COUNCIL RECOMMENDATIONS

"HEREBY RECOMMENDS that Member States:

- Use for the purposes of Community-level policy work a common definition of rare disease as a disease affecting no more than 5 per 10 000 persons.
- Aim to ensure that rare diseases are adequately coded and traceable in all health information systems, encouraging an adequate recognition of the disease in the national healthcare and reimbursement systems based on the ICD while respecting national procedures.



COUNCIL RECOMMENDATIONS

- Contribute actively to the development of the EU easily accessible and dynamic inventory of rare diseases based on the Orphanet network and other existing networks as referred to in the Commission Communication on rare diseases.
- Consider supporting at all appropriate levels, including the Community level, on the one hand, specific disease information networks and, on the other hand, for epidemiological purposes, registries and databases, whilst being aware of an independent governance."







RELEVANT EXTRACT FROM THE SPECIFIC EUROPLAN RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF NATIONAL PLANS FOR RARE DISEASES

EUROPLAN RECOMMENDATIONS

- R2.1 The European definition of rare diseases is adopted in order to facilitate transnational cooperation and community level actions (e.g.: collaboration in diagnosis and health care; registry activities).
- R2.2. The use of a common EU inventory of rare diseases (Orphanet) is promoted in the national health care services and collaboration is carried out to keep it updated.
- R2.3 Coding of rare diseases is promoted, encouraging their traceability in the national health system.
- R2.4 Cross-referencing rare diseases is carried out across the different classification systems in use in the country, ensuring coordination and coherence with European initiatives, such as reference to the Orphacode.



EUROPLAN RECOMMENDATIONS

- R2.5 Collaboration with the ICD10 revision process is ensured and ICD-11 is adopted as soon as possible.
- R2.6 Healthcare professionals are appropriately trained in recognising and coding rare diseases.
- R2.7 Initiatives are promoted at national level for the integrated use of administrative, demographic and health care data sources to improve the management of rare diseases.
- R2.8 International, national and regional registries for specific rare diseases or groups of rare diseases are promoted and supported for research and public health purposes, including those held by academic researchers.



EUROPLAN RECOMMENDATIONS

- R 2.9 Collection and sharing of data from any valid sources, including Centres of Expertise, and their availability for public health purposes is promoted by public health authorities, in compliance with national laws.
- R 2.10 Participation of existing national registries in European /International registries is fostered.
- R 2.11 Instruments are identified for combining EU and national funding for registries.







RELEVANT EXTRACT FROM THE

EUROPLAN INDICATORS

TO EVALUATE THE ACHIEVEMENTS OF RD INITIATIVES

EUROPLAN INDICATORS

ACTIONS	INDICATORS	TYPE	ANSWERS
To officially adopt the EC RD definition (no more than 5 cases/10,000 inhabitants	Adoption of the EC RD definition	Process	Yes No EU definition modified with an additional definition
To include the best Rare Diseases classification currently existing into the public health care related services	Type of classification used by the health care system	Process	ICD-9 ICD-10 OMIM SNOMED ORPHAN MESH Others



EUROPLAN INDICATORS

ACTIONS	INDICATORS	TYPE	ANSWERS
To include the best Rare Diseases classification currently existing into the public health care related services	Developing policies for recognising RD by the care information systems	Process	Not existing, not clearly stated Existing, clearly stated, partly implemented and enforced Existing, clearly stated and substantially implemented and enforced
Defining a surveillance system based on a patient outcomes registry	Registering activity	Process	Centralised RD registry Multiple RD registries but well coordinated and standardised Multiple RD registries not standardised No registry at all
	Number of diseases included	Outcomes	Number ranging from 1 to 20





WORKSHOP ADEQUATE DEFINITION, CODIFICATION AND INVENTORYING OF RARE DISEASES

FURTHER GUIDELINES FOR DISCUSSION (including questions on information and training)

DEFINITION OF RARE DISEASES

Is the EU official definition (RD are those affecting up to 5 out of 10 000 person) used in your country?

Are there alternative or more specific definitions used instead or in addition?



CLASSIFICATION AND TRACEABILITY OF RDs IN THE NATIONAL HEALTH SYSTEM

- What classification system is used in your country? ICD9, ICD10, SNOMED, OMIM, ORPHAN...
- For which purpose is (are) the classification system(s) used, e.g. surveillance, reimbursement, provision of social support, etc.
- Is your country prepared to adopt the WHO-led system, the ICD-11, recommended by the EU in the Council Recommendation on RD, when ready (2014)?
- What level of awareness and knowledge do healthcare professionals have of the RD classification and codification? What can be done to improve it?



INVENTORIES, REGISTRIES AND LISTS

- Are there official lists of RD in your country? Is there an official governmental RD registry? And/or specific RD databases e.g. held by Centres of Expertise? Are there RD surveillance projects or programmes (e.g. sentinel programmes, surveys)?
- What kind of initiatives should be taken or reinforced in your country?
- Do these registries and programmes receive government support?
- How to ensure, through appropriate funding mechanisms, the long-term sustainability of registries and databases?
- Does your country participate to the development of a EU inventory of RD as recommended in the Council Recommendations on RD?



HOW TO IMPROVE INFORMATION ON AVAILABLE CARE FOR RARE DISEASES

- What are the existing information sources in the country? Are they of good quality? Do they receive public funding or Patients Org. funding?
 - Is there a national official website for RD in the country?
 - Are there help lines for both patients and healthcare professionals? Are they known to the public?
 - Are there initiatives of centres of expertise and/or patient organisations or programme to stimulate the development of information and educational material for patients or specific publics (teacher, social workers, etc.)?
 - Are existing resources at EU level, Orphanet and Eurordis used: (information on diseases, specialised centres and patient groups, ongoing research projects, clinical trials)?
 - Are there initiatives to raise awareness on RD such as a RD Day?



HOW TO IMPROVE ACCESS TO QUALITY INFORMATION ON RD

- How are these information sources and initiatives publicised?
- How to make sure that they reach out to the target audiences? And to the wider public?



HOW TO ENSURE ADEQUATE TRAINING OF HEALTCHARE PROFESSIONALS ON RARE DISEASES

- Training for healthcare professionals may include :
 - Training to make them aware of the existence of RDs and of resources available for their care;
 - Medical training in fields relevant to diagnosis of RDs (e.g. genetics, oncology, immunology, neurology, paediatrics);
 - Medical training of young doctors and scientists in the field of RD;
 - Exchange and sharing of expertise between centres within the country.



HOW TO ENSURE ADEQUATE TRAINING OF HEALTCHARE PROFESSIONALS ON RARE DISEASES

- How to ensure that existing and validated international guidelines are used at national level to guide diagnosis and treatment of RD?
- What mechanisms can be put in place to support the exchange of expertise at EU level and the adequate training for all healthcare professionals?
- Is your country supporting the participation of national experts in developing international guidelines to guide diagnosis and treatment of RD at national level?







WORKSHOP ADEQUATE DEFINITION, CODIFICATION AND INVENTORYING OF RARE DISEASES

PROPOSALS FROM THE AUDIENCE