





François Houÿez

Director of Treatment Information & Access

CEF meeting, 14 October 2015

The regulatory process and where we jump in

Results of CTs and submission of MAA

Orphan designation (or not)

Protocol assistance (or not)

PDCO & CAT

- Risks evaluated by PRAC
- Scientific Advice (or not)

Benefit/risk and quality evaluated by CHMP

Product authorised (or not)

Patients in decision making (1-2) or advice (2-6)



The CHMP momentum

+/- 2 months to organise it

Day 0

 Submission of marketing authorisation application (MAA)

Day 120

- Rapporteurs' report
- Comments from CHMP members
- List of Questions

Day 121

 Submission of responses by applicant

Day 150

- Rapporteurs' report on responses
- Day 180: CHMP outstanding issues

Day 180-210

Final opinion

 +/- hearing of the company
 (oral explanation)

the dossier is a difficult one.
Scientific Advice can be envisaged

If concerns or doubts within CHMP members: an oral explanation can be proposed If relevant: to invite 2 patients and a mentor



EMA announces

Press release

26/09/2014

Patients to discuss benefit-risk evaluation of medicines with the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use

EMA launches pilot project to integrate patients' unique and critical views into CHMP discussions

http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/news_and_events/news/2014/09/news_detail_002172.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058004d5c1



(few) consultations with patients in the past (not exhaustive, rare diseases)

- January 2002 2007
- August 2003
- 2005 2006
- July 2006
- 2009 present
- April 2011
- May 2011
- Sept. 2012

- Thalidomide, multiple myeloma (RMP, b/r)
- rhGH (Serostim® HIV cachexia, OMP)
 (b/r, request by rapporteur in his report)
- Lenalidomide (myelodys. s.) (RMP, b/r)
- Lenalidomide (mult. myeloma) (RMP)
- Cerezyme/Fabrazyme shortages
- Celecoxib (Onsenal® familial adenomatous polyposis) (b/r renewal)
- Vpriv® (velaglucerase alfa long-term ERT type 1 Gaucher) (b/r)
- Pomalidomide (multiple myeloma) (RMP)



As of 2014: Patients' and Consumers' Working Party and CHMP have agreed

- a pilot phase which would explore how this could occur to maximal effect
 - To demonstrate our participation <u>adds value</u> to the scientific discussion
- The Rapporteurs and EMA team leaders will decide on a case-by-case basis when this will be needed
 - When the CHMP is likely to recommend the refusal of a MA for a new medicine where there remains an unmet medical need
 - When the PRAC/CHMP are likely to recommend the withdrawal, suspension, revocation or restriction of an indication for a medicine for which a significant impact in patient population is expected

Which patients should be invited?

- Patients (or carers) with <u>personal experience and knowledge of the particular disease/condition</u>
 under evaluation will be invited to participate
- Assessment of any potential conflicts of interest
- Contact via the EMA network of eligible patient organisations, other European or national organisations or individuals who have expressed an interest to participate in the Agency's work
- Need to be able to understand and communicate in English.



The patients will be accompanied by a 'mentor' (likely a PCWP member)

- During pilot phase (1st year)
 - By order of "appearance"
 - September 14 November 14: François Houÿez (EURORDIS)
 - December 14 February 15: Hildrun Sundseth (EIWH)
 - March 15 May 15: Richard West (EURORDIS)
 - June 15 Sept 15: Erik Briers (Europa Uomo)
- Their role: more to explain the procedure, to remind them some rules, and to make them comfortable than to intervene in the discussion/content



How should patients join the discussion?

- Usually CHMP will send a few questions ahead of the meeting
- Patients will give their views on these questions and may participate actively in the discussions
- Patients can also ask questions to the company
- Patients do not take part in any decision making process (no voting rights)
- Patients may join the meeting for the briefing by the rapporteurs, followed by the company presentation (20 min) and subsequent Q&A session. They may also remain for the discussion and conclusions, but would leave prior to voting.

How should patients join the discussion?

Other provisions

- Patients would be given the option to remain in a separate meeting room and follow the oral explanation by video link if they prefer, joining the CHMP for the subsequent discussion
- If a patient is not able to travel, there is also the possibility to join via teleconference or to provide comments in writing beforehand
- 'Rules of participation' and confidentiality undertaking
- You receive the list of questions to the company, and the rapporteurs' report (80+ pages)
 - But often very shortly before the meeting



Potential conflicts of interest and confidentiality aspects

- Every patient will be required to complete a declaration of interest / confidentiality agreement prior to formal invitation.
- They undergo screening for conflicts of interest in the same manner as all other experts.
- Patients must adhere to the confidentiality of the documentation they receive and the discussions they partake in.
- They participate as individuals and should not discuss the documents received with others.



In practice

- Company can attend the oral explanation with their own experts. These experts can be:
 - Clinical investigators
 - Other scientists
 - Patients (different than the 2 ones invited by CHMP)
- Where you can play a key role: when CHMP members have difficulties understanding what the product can improve/change in your life
 - Evaluation criteria not always clinically meaningful
- You can make a Powerpoint presentation to guide your speech, or not



Concerns

- This is a test, an experiment. Crucial to make it right from the beginning. Can stop at any time
- There are formal rules, need to be fully compliant with them
 - The form is as important as the content
 - As soon as invited by CHMP, and until the EMA announces the opinion on its web site:
 - You can't talk with anyone else except the other invited patient, the EMA staff/rapporteurs and the mentor
 - Refrain from talking with other patients, or clinicians
 - Refrain from talking with the company
- Confidentiality +++, prevention of insider trading +++

EURORDIS Rare Diseases Europe

Recommendations

- Adapt your practices
 - When meeting with the developer of a medicine, even years before the CHMP momentum, make the agendas of your meetings public
 - When invited by the EMA, provide them with the dates when you met with the company, and the agenda of the meetings
- Sign the "Code of Practices guiding the Relations Between the Healthcare Industry and Patients' Organisations"
 - And implement it in your organisation



Remember

- All documents and discussions are confidential from the moment you're invited (by a mentor or the EMA)
- Your names are communicated to the company ahead of the meeting
- After the CHMP opinion is public on EMA website:
 - The content of the discussion remains confidential for ever
 - The only thing that becomes public: date of meeting, name of product, name of company, and the fact you were invited
- Oral Explanation can always be cancelled at the last minute – CHMP meeting with patients should be maintained

16/10/2014

Also remember

- That EMA doesn't consider pricing and reimbursement of medicines
 - No need to talk about this
- Political statements are useless
 - What matters is your views, or data from your organisation you may wish to share
- CHMP knows when there is an unmet need



